

MIDDLESBROUGH COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE SUB-COMMITTEE FOR PROPERTY

MEMBERS SMALL SCHEME ALLOCATIONS

Executive Member for Finance and Governance; Nicky Walker

Executive Director for Growth and Place; Kevin Parkes

Date: 20th of December 2017

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1. The purpose of the report is to set out the bids received in respect of the initial bidding round which took place through August /September 2017.
2. Members are to consider the bids received and to either approve, reject or defer each bid taking into account the information provided within this report.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

3. Members to consider the bids presented within this report and either approve, reject or defer funding as appropriate.

IF THIS IS A KEY DECISION WHICH KEY DECISION TEST APPLIES?

4. It is over the financial threshold (£150,000)
It has a significant impact on 2 or more wards
Non Key

<input type="checkbox"/>
X
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

DECISION IMPLEMENTATION DEADLINE

5. For the purposes of the scrutiny call in procedure this report is

Non-urgent
Urgent report

X
<input type="checkbox"/>

BACKGROUND

6. Members have previously approved funding within the capital programme for the Members Small Scheme Allocation with an allocation of £106,000 in respect of 2017/18 which is inclusive of uncommitted funds from previous years.
7. Members were invited on the 27th of July 2017 to submit bids by no later than the 8th of September 2017.
8. A total of 14 bids were received within the bidding timeframe together with a further bid which was received outside of this period.
9. The late bidder was advised that the bid could not be considered within the current round but would be held on file for future bidding rounds. Two bidders had submitted two bids and subsequently prioritised their bids and withdrew one of their bids. Another bid was withdrawn as the proposed scheme was more than the £15,000 maximum allocation. Finally a bid was withdrawn as the capital sum requested was below the £5,000 threshold to qualify as a capital scheme.
9. The bids received are as follows;
 - a) Improvements to Broughton Avenue Play Area, £10,500, (Cllr J Goodchild)
 - b) Improvements to Pallister Park (CCTV / Play Equipment), £10,000, (Cllr J McGee)
 - c) New footpath Link to Poole Roundabout, £7,000, (Cllr L McGloin)
 - d) Proposed Parking Bays to Canterbury Grove, £15,000, (Cllr M Walters)
 - e) Traffic Calming Measures to Oxford Road, £15,000, (Cllr N Hussain)
 - f) Footpath Repairs to Belle Vue Grove, £15,000, (Cllr Rooney, Higgins)
 - g) Zebra Crossing Mount Pleasant Way, £15,000, (Cllr D Branson)
 - h) Improvements to Laycock Park (CCTV /Play Equipment), £10,000 (Cllrs Hellaoui, T Harvey and B Brady)
 - i) Improvements to Brabourn Gardens, (CCTV/ Play Equipment), £15,000 (Cllr J Walker)
 - j) Improvements to Cypress Road Play Area, £8,500, (Cllr T Mowston)
10. The total costs of the above schemes is £121,000, and if these were all approved £15,000 funding would need to be drawn down from the 18/19 allocation (£60,000).
11. Appendix 1 sets out the proposed schemes in more detail, together with supporting photographs, location plans and indicative scores based on the criteria set out in the application forms.

IMPACT ASSESSMENT (IA)

12. A Level 1 (Initial Screening) Impact Assessment (IA) accompanies this report in Appendix 2.

The impact assessment identified that the proposal would have a positive impact on the local community as the proposed schemes address public safety, access for the disabled and associated environmental improvements.

13. The impact assessment undertaken found that there were no concerns that the proposal could have an adverse impact.

OPTION APPRAISAL/RISK ASSESSMENT

14. **Option 1:** To agree to fund all of the schemes totalling £136,000 through the current budget allocation of £106,000 and drawing down £15k from the 18/19 budget allocation (£60,000).
15. **Option 2:** To approve schemes within the current available budget of £106,000 and defer / reject some schemes with a view to them being consider in 18/19 bidding round.

FINANCIAL, LEGAL AND WARD IMPLICATIONS

16. **Financial** – The member's small scheme allocation was approved by the Council as part of its capital programme with an allocation of £106,000 in respect of 2017/18 which is inclusive of uncommitted funds from previous years.
17. **Legal** – All works will be carried out in accordance with the Councils policies and procedures as well as the appropriate legislation.
18. **Ward** – The wards affected are set out elsewhere in this report together with the names of the councillors who have submitted the bids.
19. Members will also be consulted on any subsequent proposal as part of the normal planning process.

RECOMMENDATIONS

20. It is recommended that Executive Sub-Committee for Property:
 - a) Considers the bids on the basis of either progresses Option 1 or Option 2.

REASON

21. The schemes submitted have been appraised and scored in accordance with the member's small scheme allocation and members to consider which schemes are to be approved in accordance with either Option 1 or Option 2.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

No background papers were used in the preparation of this report

Author: Martin Shepherd

Tel No: 729192

Email Address: martin_shepherd@Middlesbrough.gov.uk

Website: <http://www.middlesbrough.gov.uk>

Member Small Scheme Allocation
Project: Playground Improvements to Brabourn Gardens / Hemlington Rec
Councillor: Jeanette Walker (Hemlington)
2025 Aim: Fairer and Safer Middlesbrough
Funding Request: £15,000
Project Description:
<p>Replacement of damaged / removed play equipment to Brabourn Gardens / Hemlington Rec. Including refreshment and cleansing of the safety surface, painting, general cleansing and removal of weeds.</p> <p>Total scheme cost £25,000 with £10,000 match funding from Parks.</p>

PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel						
	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	<p>Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.</p>	<p>Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards</p>	<p>Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard</p>	<p>Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard</p>	<p>Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard</p>	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				18		
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value				18		
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money		12				
4) Project Risks		12				
Total Project Score (Max 100		24		36		60

Points)						
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Member Small Scheme Allocation						
Project: Proposed Parking Bays Canterbury Grove						
Councillor: Margaret Walters (Park)						
2025 Aim: Safer Communities-Reducing Impact of Crime / Anti-Social Behaviour						
Funding Request: £15,000						
Project Description:						
<p>Installation of 8 Parking Bays in Canterbury Grove, hardening section of verge with paving flags and new link footpath to be installed.</p> <p>Total cost of scheme £20,000 with £5,000 match funding from Highways.</p>						
PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel						
	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to	Some concerns that appraisal is missing some	Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the	Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the	

	meet required standards.	evidence to achieve the required standards	required standard	required standard	appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				18		
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value				18		
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money		12				
4) Project Risks		12				
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)		24		36		60

Member Small Scheme Allocation	
Project: Traffic Calming Measures Oxford Road	
Councillor: Naweed Hussain (Linthorpe)	
2025 Aim: A safer environment-reducing road casualties-improving wellbeing in the local community.	
Funding Request: £15,000	
Project Description:	

Introduction of physical traffic calming measures and associated signing to reduce vehicle speeds, thereby reducing the potential for collisions to occur.

Total cost of scheme £20,000 with £5,000 match funding from Highways.

PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel

	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.	Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards	Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard	Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				20		
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value				18		
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money			12			
4) Project Risks			12			
Total Project			24	38		62

Score (Max 100 Points)						
------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Member Small Scheme Allocation						
Project: Zebra Crossing over Mount Pleasant Way, Coulby Newham						
Councillor: David Branson (Coulby Newham)						
2025 Aim: Creating a Safer Middlesbrough						
Funding Request: £15,000						
Project Description:						
Provision of a Zebra crossing between the end of Ash Green and Mount Pleasant junction to the path leading to the play area adjacent to Lingfield Farm Community Centre.						
Total cost of project £25,000 with £10,000 match funding from Highways.						
PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel						
	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	Serious concerns that appraisal is	Some concerns that appraisal is	Information indicating appraisal shows the	Strong information indicating appraisal is	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and	

	lacking evidence to meet required standards.	missing some evidence to achieve the required standards	potential to deliver the required standard	capable of delivering to required standard	comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities			12			
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value			12			
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money			12			
4) Project Risks			12			
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)			48			48

Member Small Scheme Allocation
Project: Refurbishment of Laycock Park, Newport
Councillors: Hellaoui, Harvey and Brady (Newport)
2025 Aim: A town that is clean, safe and healthy. Streets and open spaces are well maintained
Funding Request: £10,000
Project Description:

Replace missing equipment, repair damaged safety surfaces, installation of picnic benches and installation of football goal posts. Replacement or refreshment and cleansing of all safety surfaces. The painting of the current or remaining equipment and benches in the play areas to improve appearances.

Potential installation of CCTV to limit vandalism and ant-social behaviour which may occur in the park.

Total cost of project £15,000 with £5,000 match funding from insurance claim for Glebe play area.

PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel

	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.	Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards	Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard	Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				20		
2)				20		

Outputs, Benefits and Social Value						
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money			12			
4) Project Risks			12			
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)			24	40		64

Member Small Scheme Allocation
Project: Improvements to Pallister Park
Councillor: Julie McGee (Berwick Hills and Pallister)
2025 Aim: Fairer and Safer Town
Funding Request: £10,000
Project Description:
<p>Support the refurbishment of the toilets to the rear of Pallister Park pavilion.</p> <p>Provide safe and up to date play facilities for the under 5's</p> <p>Provide additional CCTV to the park.</p> <p>Total cost of the scheme £15,000 with £5,000 match funding from Parks.</p>

PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel						
	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	<p>Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.</p>	<p>Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards</p>	<p>Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard</p>	<p>Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard</p>	<p>Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard</p>	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities					22	
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value					22	
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money		12				
4) Project Risks		12				
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)		24			44	68

Member Small Scheme Allocation
Project: Improvement to Play Area at Broughton Avenue
Councillor: June Goodchild (Ladgate)

2025 Aim: Regenerate Inner Middlesbrough through a range of targeted interventions.						
Funding Request: £10,500						
Project Description:						
<p>Improving, repairing and replacement of play equipment.</p> <p>Encourage the children of the community to use outdoor space to increase physical activity outside of school to be supported by parents and carers.</p> <p>Total project cost £13,000 with match funding of £2,500 from Parks.</p>						
PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel						
	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.	Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards	Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard	Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				20		
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value				20		
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value		12				

for Money						
4) Project Risks		12				
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)		24		40		64

Member Small Scheme Allocation						
Project: Footpath Link-Stokesley Road (Poole Roundabout)						
Councillor: Lesley McGloin (Nunthorpe)						
2025 Aim: Safer Middlesbrough						
Funding Request: £7,000						
Project Description:						
<p>Proposed footpath link to enable residents of Nunthorpe to safely navigate a busy roundabout and access the existing footpaths both serving Nunthorpe and the proposed new development on Stokesley Road.</p>						
PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel						
	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total

	Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.	Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards	Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard	Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				20		
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value				20		
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money		12				
4) Project Risks		12				
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)		24		40		64

Member Small Scheme Allocation
Project: Improvements to Cypress Road Play Area
Councillor: Tom Mawston (Marton East)
2025 Aim: Regenerate Communities and Improve Physical Environment
Funding Request: £8,500

Project Description:

Replace damaged /missing play equipment and associated environmental improvements to the play area.

Total cost of scheme £11,000 with £2,500 match funding from Parks.

PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel

	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.	Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards	Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard	Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				20		
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value				20		

3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money		12				
4) Project Risks		12				
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)		24		40		64

Member Small Scheme Allocation
Project: Repair /Reinstate Damaged Paving to Belle Vue Grove
Councillor: Charles Rooney / Teresa Higgins (Longlands and Beechwood)
2025 Aim: Safer Communities
Funding Request: £15,000
Project Description:
Phased repairs / reinstatement of damaged paving and verges due to tree roots. Total scheme cost £28,000 with £13,000 match funding from Highways.
PROJECT SCORING ASSESSMENT - To be completed by Capital Assessment Panel

	Not Met Score 0-5	Partly Met Score 6-10	Met Score 11-15	Well Met Score 16-20	Exceeded Score 21-25	Total
	Serious concerns that appraisal is lacking evidence to meet required standards.	Some concerns that appraisal is missing some evidence to achieve the required standards	Information indicating appraisal shows the potential to deliver the required standard	Strong information indicating appraisal is capable of delivering to required standard	Very high standard with no reservations at all about acceptability and comprehensive evidence that the appraisal will exceed the required standard	
1) Strength of links with Political Priorities				16		
2) Outputs, Benefits and Social Value				16		
3) Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money		12				
4) Project Risks		12				
Total Project Score (Max 100 Points)		24		32		56

Appendix 1 - Impact Assessment Level 1: Initial screening assessment

Subject of assessment:	Members Small Scheme Allocation			
Coverage:	Service specific			
This is a decision relating to:	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy	<input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Function
	<input type="checkbox"/> Process/procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Programme	<input type="checkbox"/> X Project	<input type="checkbox"/> Review
	<input type="checkbox"/> Organisational change	Other (please state) Asset management		
It is a:	New approach:		Revision of an existing approach:	<input type="checkbox"/>
It is driven by:	Legislation:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local or corporate requirements:	
Description:	<p>Key aims, objectives and activities To provide a capital funding for minor schemes promoted by councillors within their ward.</p> <p>Statutory drivers (set out exact reference) Not applicable</p> <p>Differences from any previous approach New funding round of a scheme which had been adopted in the past for number of years.</p> <p>Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate) The ward councillors, local community and associated community groups.</p> <p>Intended outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A range of minor capital schemes to enhance community facilities • Environmental improvements • Contribute to cleaner and safer public spaces. 			
Live date:	TBC			
Lifespan:	5 years			
Date of next review:	2021			

AGENDA ITEM: 4

Screening questions	Response			Evidence
	No	Yes	Uncertain	
Human Rights Could the decision impact negatively on individual Human Rights as enshrined in UK legislation?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	None of the schemes impact on the Human rights as enshrined in UK legislation. All schemes have a positive impact on the community and their residents.
Equality Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No groups are disadvantaged by the schemes and in most instances projects have a positive impact in terms of disability, health and safety and environmental improvements.
Community cohesion Could the decision impact negatively on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All the schemes have positive impact on the local community and associated neighbourhoods.
Middlesbrough 2020 – Our Vision Could the decision impact negatively on the achievement of the vision for Middlesbrough?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No all of the schemes support the 20 /20 vision for town which is a safe and clean place to live.
Organisational management / Change Programme Could the decision impact negatively on organisational management or the transformation of the Council's services as set out in its Change Programme?*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	None of the schemes impact on the organisational /change programme within the Council.

Next steps:

- ➡ If the answer to all of the above screening questions is No then the process is completed.
- ➡ If the answer of any of the questions is Yes or Uncertain, then a Level 2 Full Impact Assessment must be completed.

Assessment completed by:	Martin Shepherd	Head of Service:	Tom Punton
Date:	21/3/16	Date:	21/3/16

*Consult the Impact Assessment further guidance appendix for details on the issues covered by each of these broad questions prior to completion.

